PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE U.S. FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION OFFICE OF FOOD SAFETY SHELLFISH AND AQUACULTURE POLICY BRANCH **5001 CAMPUS DRIVE**

COLLEGE PARK, MD 20740-3835

TEL. 240- 402-2151/2055/4960 FAX 301-436-2601 CFSANDSSLEOS@FDA.HHS.GOV SHELLFISH LABORATORY EVALUATION CHECKLIST LABORATORY: ADDRESS: TELEPHONE: FAX: **EMAIL:** DATE OF EVALUATION: DATE OF REPORT: LAST EVALUATION: TITLE: LABORATORY REPRESENTED BY: LABORATORY EVALUATION OFFICER: SHELLFISH SPECIALIST: OTHER OFFICIALS PRESENT: TITLE: Conformity is noted by a (Y), no (N), or not applicable (N/A) for each checklist item. Please note that for all N/A indications, you must document the reason why this requirement is N/A on a separate record. Record comments related to any requirement on the space provided in the summary of nonconformities. All nonconformities must be identified and explained. Quality System must be in place for onsite laboratory evaluation to be scheduled. Parts of the Ouality Checklist Quality Management: Laboratory Operations and Responsibilities for Quality Systems Part I Quality Assurance: The Process of Documenting and Maintaining a Quality System Part II Part III Ouality Control: Documentation for Quality System Defensibility

	-	nnagement: Laboratory Operations and Responsibilities for nitation Program Laboratory Quality Systems
1 (40101141 5110		ITEM
Conformance Comments	Ref	
		1.1 Components of the Laboratory Quality System
	1,3,6,9	1.1.1 The laboratory has an overall Quality System supported by quality management structure, quality assurance processes and quality control functions.
	1,3,6,9	1.1.2 Management and technical structure exist to support the Quality System.
	1,3,6,9	1.1.3 Quality documentation is required by the laboratory. These include a Quality Assurance (QA) Manual (or otherwise named) and Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) to support the quality assurance process of the laboratory.
	1,9	1.1.4 The <u>documents</u> used to implement the quality assurance process and <u>records</u> used to verify quality control (QC) function of the laboratory are reviewed and controlled.
	9	1.1.5 An established process of Quality System assessment and technical proficiency are documented with results retained until the next review.
	9	1.1.6 Resolution, management review and prevention of nonconformities are a documented component of the Quality System.
		1.2 Laboratory Management Structure and Quality Systems
	1,3,6,9	1.2.1 The laboratory's structure is clearly organized with supervisory chain delineated.
	9	1.2.2 The laboratory has ensured that its management and personnel are free from any undue internal and external commercial, financial and other pressures and influences that may adversely affect the quality of their work.
	9	1.2.3 The laboratory has documentation of dedicated personnel with the authority and resources required to carry out their duties, including implementing and maintaining the Quality System of the laboratory.
	1, 9	1.2.4 The laboratory's designated quality personnel ensure adherence to the quality system, including SOPs and QC. These staff have clear documented authority to initiate actions to prevent or minimize departures from quality system and monitor the corrective action process.
	9	1.2.5 The laboratory has documentation of a designated quality system manager, responsible for monitoring all aspects of the quality system to assure defensibility. This person shall have unrestricted access to FDA Shellfish Laboratory Evaluation Officers (LEOs) and the highest levels of the laboratories management. In the case of a single person laboratory, FDA LEOs will assist with developing a monitoring plan.
	1,9	1.2.6 A documented system is in place to ensure that appropriate review of and communication regarding the elements of the quality system are established among the laboratory staff and laboratory management.
		1.3 Laboratory Personnel and Roles in a Quality System
	1,3, 9	1.3.1 The roles and responsibilities of all personnel are defined in the QA manual, read by all staff and the acknowledgments of these

1		1	91.212.2
			responsibilities are retained.
	9	1.3.2	The laboratory policy and the training procedures for personnel are
			documented and relevant to the scope of the current activities in the
			laboratory. If the laboratory intends to add methods to their scope,
			training SOPs must also be added with successful completion by the
			analyst(s) that will perform the method(s). In the case of a single
			person laboratory, method proficiency verification must be retained
			during the life of the methods use in the laboratory.
	9	1.3.3	The laboratory shall maintain a personnel file/record of any relevant
			authorization(s), qualifications, trainings, and/or proficiencies for
			each analyst. This information shall be available upon request as
			verification of staff training and shall be retained for all staff until
			two years after they are no longer employed by the laboratory.
	1, 3, 9	1.3.4	The laboratory has documented that all personnel involved in testing
			have read and understand the applicable SOPs and associated quality
			documentation and implement the policies and procedures required
			for the performance of their technical function.
PART II –	Quality Ass	urance:	The Process of Documenting and Maintaining a Quality System
			ality Assurance Process: QA Manual, SOPs and Document
		Contro	
	1, 9	2.1.1	The QA manual shall include or make reference to all laboratory
			SOPs and any supporting procedures, including technical
			procedures.
	1, 9	2.1.2	SOPs are controlled documents and include detailed, written
			instructions to achieve uniformity of test methods and quality control
			procedures, such that items that might affect the quality or
			defensibility of the outcome are mitigated.
	1, 9	2.1.3	SOPs and the QA Manual are controlled documents, such that
			specific individuals are designated within the laboratory with
			editorial control. These individuals are identified in the QA Manual.
	1, 9	2.1.4	Each time an SOP or the QA manual has changed, the new version
			will be marked as such and will be distributed to the laboratory with
			older versions removed from circulation.
	1, 9	2.1.5	Staff training requirements are documented in the QA manual and
			the training procedure is included.
	1.0		ality Manual Items
	1, 9	2.2.1	Quality Assurance Manual contains:
			Table of Contents;
			Organizational chart;
			A description of the Quality System and procedure for
			implementation and maintenance;
			Policy and procedure for resource management (human resources,
			competence and training, work environment and safety), description
			of responsibilities;
			Policy and procedures for rejection criteria;
			Policy and procedures for calibration of equipment and Equipment
			file items such as maintenance;
			Policy and procedure for traceability and required documentation,
			Policy and procedure for internal audits;
			Policy and Procedure for data analysis and control of nonconforming
			work; and
			Policy for corrective actions (CAs) and preventative actions (PAs).
	1,3,6,9	2.2.2	The organizational chart clearly depicts laboratory structure with
	1,0,0,0		The organizational chart elearly depicts involutory structure with

		quality and technical personnel listed.
1, 9	2.2.3	
1, 9	2.2.3	The policy for human resources provisions includes hiring and assignment of staff, competence and responsibilities for positions,
		and a procedure of training for each technical competence, including
1 2 4	224	proficiencies required.
1, 3, 4,	2.2.4	Policies for work environment and safety protocols, analytical
6, 9		methods, and quality control performed for the National Shellfish
		Sanitation Program (NSSP) are included or referenced in the QA
1.0	2.2.5	Manual and shall be provided upon request.
1, 9	2.2.5	A policy regarding appropriate equipment file maintenance and
		retention (e.g., calibration records, maintenance documentation,
1 0	226	manuals of operation) is included in the QA Manual.
1, 9	2.2.6	The SOP for calibration and maintenance of equipment is kept or
1.0	225	referenced in the QA Manual and shall be provided upon request.
1, 9	2.2.7	The SOP for traceability of analytical results is included or
		referenced in the QA Manual and shall be provided upon request.
		This traceability procedure includes a documented procedure for the
		unique identification of samples and the process for chain of custody
1.0	2.2.2	verification.
1, 9	2.2.8	The QA Manual has a policy and a procedure for internal quality
		audits. These audits are planned and scheduled annually or as
		needed. The policy states auditors do not audit their own work. In the
		case of a single person laboratory, FDA LEOs will assist with an
		audit plan.
1, 9	2.2.9	The QA Manual contains a policy for data analysis to require that all
		analyses performed have been carried out correctly, documented,
		controls were used accurately and the results meet specified
		requirements.
1, 9	2.2.10	The QA Manual contains a procedure for the control of
		nonconforming work in the case of:
		identification, documentation, evaluation, segregation (where
		practical), disposition of nonconforming sample/analyte/result and
		customer notification;
		assigning responsibility for the review and the authority for
		disposition of nonconforming sample/analyte/result;
		a nonconforming result correction and the re-verification/calibration
		of the affected equipment after the correction to demonstrate
		conformity (if necessary); and
		handling a nonconforming result when it is detected, after delivery to
		the customer.
1, 9	2.2.11	The QA manual contains a procedure for preventative actions in
,		which laboratory staff identify potential nonconformities in audit
		results, quality records, or customer complaints through a review
		process. Steps are then determined to identify preventive actions to
		implement. The necessary changes are made to SOPs and this
		exercise is recorded, and records maintained.
1, 3, 6, 9	2.2.12	The QA manual has a policy and a procedure for developing
-, -, -, -,		corrective action(s) to eliminate the cause of identified
		nonconformities in order to prevent recurrence. Corrective actions
		describe the nonconformities, define the process for evaluating the
		need for actions to ensure that nonconformities do not recur (root
		cause analysis), explain the process to implement the corrective
		action(s) needed, and the resultant outcome. There is also a
		procedure to monitor progress of any ongoing corrective actions and
		idence Documents Chapter II Growing Areas

			the resolution.	
	1, 3, 4,	2.2.13	The QA Manual contains a policy stating laboratory management	
	6, 9	2.2.13	shall ensure and document the competence of staff independently	
	0,)		operating equipment resulting in a documented measurement,	
			analysis result, quality control value/result, determination of data	
			value for sample result, and review/closure of corrective action for	
			efficacy.	
	1, 9	2.2.14	The policy for sample rejection criteria includes what the laboratory	
	1, /	2.2.17	will accept and reject based on NSSP requirements and chain of	
			custody.	
	1, 3, 4,	2.2.15	The laboratory shall have sample acceptance procedures that include	
	6, 9		safe handling, transport, and storage to prevent contamination or	
			deterioration and to protect the sample integrity. These procedures	
			are provided to customers.	
	1, 3, 4,	2.2.16	The laboratory has procedures for handling nonconforming samples	
	6, 9		and who will be contacted in the case of sample rejection.	
PART III- Qua	lity Contro	l: Docun	nentation for Quality System Defensibility	
			umentation	
	1, 9	3.1.1	The laboratory investigates proficiency testing (PT) programs for	
			areas of continual improvement and actively addresses problematic	
			results through the prescribed corrective action process.	
	1, 9, 10	3.1.2	The laboratory personnel performing sampling and testing	
			participate in PT programs and exercises when available. If no PT	
			exists, participation in interlaboratory comparisons is considered.	
	1, 3, 6,	3.1.3	Corrections to quality control records, bench sheets and reports	
	9, 10		follow the requirements below:	
			A single line is drawn through the incorrect information;	
			The correct information is written next to the incorrect information;	
			The person responsible for the correction initialed the information;	
			If not obvious, the reason for correction has been included; and	
			If corrections are necessary in an electronic document, old	
			information must be retained in some form, the person making the	
			change must be identified, the date of the change noted, and the reason for the change noted.	
	1, 3, 6,	3.1.4	All records, required to be retained for two years (or length of time	
	9, 10	J.1. T	as dictated by State law), shall be legible and shall be stored in such	
	,,10		a way that they are readily retrievable to prevent damage or loss.	
	1	3.1.5	All records and documents must be written in indelible ink.	
			thod Performance Validation	
	1, 3, 6, 9	3.2.1	The laboratory will internally validate new methods to confirm with	
			objective evidence that the intended protocols are demonstrated and	
			outcomes are fulfilled.	
	1, 9	3.2.2	Methodologies do not deviate from the validated method and the	
			laboratory's internal validation shall remain on file in the laboratory.	
	1, 3, 6,	3.2.3	The laboratory shall report the method chosen in writing to the	
	9, 10		customer.	
	1, 4, 9 3.2.4 Methodologies and protocols are selected based on NSSP			
		requirements and samples are processed as per the citation in the		
		current Model Ordinance.		
			rironmental Conditions	
	1, 3, 4,	3.3.1	Laboratory facilities for analysis, including lighting and	
	5, 6, 9,		environmental conditions such as temperature and humidity, shall	
	10		support accurate performance of the tests.	

	T	1	
	1, 3, 4,	3.3.2	The laboratory shall monitor, control, and record environmental
	5, 6, 9,		conditions as required by the relevant specifications, methods and
	10		procedures, or where they influence the outcome of results (e.g.,
			biological sterility, dust, humidity, electrical supply, temperature,
			vibration).
	1, 3, 4,	3.3.3	Laboratory personnel shall stop testing when the environmental
	6, 9, 10		conditions jeopardize the results of analyses.
	1, 3, 4,	3.3.4	Personnel shall ensure good housekeeping in the laboratory.
	6, 9, 10		
		3.4 Eq	uipment
	1, 3, 4,	3.4.1	The laboratory shall have instructions and/ or SOPs on the use and
	6, 9, 10		operation of all relevant equipment, and on the handling and
			preparation of items for testing, where the absence of such could
			jeopardize the outcome of analysis or influence results.
	1, 9, 10	3.4.2	All equipment in the laboratory is labelled with the manufacturer's
	1, >, 10	S	name, identification number, and serial number or other unique
			identification that is traceable.
	1, 9, 10	3.4.3	Equipment files contain reports and certificates of all calibrations,
	1, 2, 10	J. T .J	the due date of next calibration, dates and results of any
			maintenance, adjustments, damage, malfunction, and modification or
			repair to the equipment.
	1, 9, 10	3.4.4	If equipment (e.g., thermometer, balance) was sent out of the
	1, 2, 10	3.4.4	laboratory for service, performance has been verified prior to use
		25 T.	again in the laboratory.
	1.0.0		mperature Measuring Devices
	1, 8, 9,	3.5.1	Unique identifier, ice point date (if applicable) and any correction
	10	2.5.2	factor is recorded on in use temperature measuring device (TMD).
	1, 8, 9,	3.5.2	TMDs are calibrated as per the NSSP requirements and ice
	10		points/steam points are performed annually on Standards
			thermometers.
	1, 8,	3.5.3	TMDs calibration certificates are retained for three consecutive
			calibration cycles.
	1, 8, 9,	3.5.4	Where calibrations give rise to a set of correction factors, the
	10		laboratory shall have procedures to ensure these records are retained
			until the next check is performed.
	1, 8, 9,	3.5.5	Range and graduations of all TMDs are appropriate for the
	10		designated use. Dial thermometers are not used in the laboratory.
	8, 9, 10	3.5.6	For electronic TMDs, probe/sensor is uniquely labeled and
			placement within unit being monitored follows manufacturer's
			instructions to ensure accurate readings, as devices vary.
	1, 8, 9,	3.5.7	Temperature Monitoring Systems (wired/wireless) must record
	10		temperature reading from each sensor/probe in the piece of
			equipment being monitored at the same or greater frequency and
			accuracy as stipulated for mercury in glass thermometers, as per
			manufacturer specifications.
		3.6 Di	sposables and Pipettors
	1, 3, 4,	3.6.1	Pipettors, accuracy checked, fixed volume or electronic are
	6, 9, 10		calibrated according to NSSP requirements.
	1, 3, 10	3.6.2	Pipettors are etched with identification (imprinted serial numbers
	1, 5, 10	2.0.2	acceptable) and tagged with last date of accuracy check.
	1, 2, 3,	3.6.3	Appropriate pipettor tips are used and sterility checks are performed
	4, 6, 9,	3.0.3	on an appropriate quantity.
	10		on an appropriate quantity.
1	10	<u> </u>	

1, 2, 3,	3.6.4	Sterility checks on disposables are performed according to a cited
4, 6, 9,		QC practice, within a designated SOP. (e.g., laboratory may cite and
10		implement a recognized standard of sterility testing, they may test
		10% of a "lot" or any 3 in a box.)
	3.7 Tes	st Record/Bench Sheet Requirements
1, 3, 4,	3.7.1	Test records/bench sheets shall contain information to facilitate
6, 9, 10		repeatability under conditions as close as possible to the original
		including QC information (or reference) for media and supplies
		used.
1, 9, 10	3.7.2	Test records/bench sheets must show date, time and temperature of
		samples at the start of analysis and contain the name or initials of the
		analyst performing the test for each group of samples.
1, 4, 9,	3.7.3	Test records/bench sheets must include sterility controls or a
10		reference to the document containing sterility controls for
		disposables and dilution buffer.
1, 4, 9,	3.7.4	Test records/bench sheets must include media productivity (positive
10		and negative) controls or a reference to the document containing
		media productivity controls.

REFERENCES

- 1. Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 58, Good Laboratory Practice for Nonclinical Laboratory Study. U.S. Government Printing, Washington, D.C. Technical Programs Criteria for Laboratories Performing Food Testing. AOAC, Arlington, Va.
- 2. U.S. Department of Commerce. 1976. *NBS Monograph 150*. U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C.
- 3. Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC). 1991. Quality Assurance Principles for Analytical Laboratories. AOAC, Arlington, VA.
- 4. Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Conference (ISSC). 2017. ISSC, Columbia, SC.
- 5. The NELAC Institute (TNI). 2003 National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference (NELAC) STANDARD QUALITY SYSTEMS. July 2005. Weatherford, TX.
- 6. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1975. *Handbook for Evaluating Water Bacteriological Laboratories*. EPA 670/9-75-006. U.S. EPA, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- 7. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). 1995. *Bacteriological Analytical Manual*. U.S. FDA, 8th Edition, AOAC, Arlington, VA.
- 8. National Institute of Standards and Technology Special Publication 250-23, 128 pages (Sept. 1988) U.S. Government Printing office, Washington, D.C. Library of Congress Catalog Number: 88-6000580.
- 9. The International Organization for Standardization and the International Electrotechnical Commission. Online: https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso-iec:17025:ed-2:v1:en accessed June 6, 2017.
- 10. National Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments. Cultural Procedures, 2400 Form. Online: http://ncims.org/programs/ accessed June 6, 2017.

LAB	ORATO	PRY:	DATE of EVALUATION:								
	SHELLFISH LABORATORY EVALUATION CHECKLIST										
	SUMMARY of NONCONFORMITIES										
Page	Item	Observation	Documentation Required								

						-
					Page of	
LAB	ORATOR	Y STATUS			T	
LAB	ORATOR	Y			DATE	
LAB	ORATOR	Y REPRESENTA	ATIVE/POINT OF CON	TACT:		
		NSS	SP Quality System E	Evaluation	: (Part I-III)	
Α.	Criteria f	or Determining I	Laboratory Status of the	Quality Sys	tem Component:	
	1. Lab	anatany must sati	istrall sections of the Or	rality Cyatam	nuion to ancita avaluation.	
	1. La 0	oratory must sati	siy an sections of the Qu	ianty Systen	n prior to onsite evaluation:	
	a. T	he total # of nonc	conformities in Part I			
	b. T	he total # of nonc	conformities in Part II			
	c. T	he total # of nonc	onformities in Part III		·	
В.	Laborato	ory Status (<i>circle a</i>	unnronriate)			
D.	Laborato	Ty Status (carete a	<i>фргоргиис)</i>			
	Does Not	Conform		C	Conforms	
Ackn	owledgmei	nt by Laboratory D	rirector/Supervisor:			
All C	orrective A	ctions will be imp	lemented and verifying su	bstantiating o	documentation received by the Lab	oratory
Evalu	ation Offic	er on or before			so onsite evaluation can be schee	duled.
Laboi	ratory Sign	ature:			Date:	_
LEO	Signature:				Date:	

LAB	LABORATORY:					
Page	Item	Observation				
1 0.80	100111	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O				