

Proposal Subject	Change in ISSC Proposal Review
Specific NSSP Guide Reference	Constitution, Bylaws, and Procedures of the ISSC, Article III and Article IV
Text of Proposal/ Requested Action	<p>Since the ISSC is now meeting every two years and since a majority of issues go to committees for further discussion, the time of a final result on these issues sent to committee may take a minimum of two years. In order to hasten the final decision process on an issued, a new process of issue review is described.</p> <p>The task forces would meet at the beginning of the ISSC meeting (Sunday morning) to consider only the newly submitted issues. If the task forces want further discussion and work performed on the issue, the issue would go to the appropriate committee for review at that ISSC meeting. (The chairman would already have determined to what committee each new issue would go.) The committees would not start their discussions until the task forces are completed with the new issues. The committee meetings occur the next day so that paperwork for the committees can be prepared. All committees not scheduled to meet will have to be placed on a stand-by position. If an issue has to go to a committee not schedule to meet, then that committee will be given a time to meet at the closing end of the time period scheduled for committee meetings. This is done in an attempt to minimize the problem of one person assigned to two committees meeting at the same time. The committees meet to discuss any old business (if applicable) and then the new issues. The committees' decisions on all issues then go back to the appropriate task force in the same manner as the current procedure. The task force does its work on the issue for the General Assembly.</p>
Public Health Significance	Many ISSC issues that result in changes in the Model Ordinance have improved public health as one outcome. The faster such changes occur, the faster the improvement of safety to the consumer. In addition, from a laboratory perspective, this issue is especially important to laboratories since laboratory changes need to be immediately incorporated into laboratory quality assurance program. This will provide laboratory accuracy, precision and efficiency when generating public health data.
Cost Information (if available)	None
Action by 2003 Task Force III	Recommended referral of Proposal 03-306 to Executive Board to develop a procedure to facilitate discussions of proposals as outlined in Proposal 03-306.
Action by 2003 General Assembly	Adopted recommendation of Task Force III.
Action by USFDA	Concurred with Conference Action.
Action by 2005 Executive Board	Recommended no action on Proposal 03-306. Rationale – The present procedures are adequate to accomplish Conference discussion of proposals.
Action by 2005 Task Force III	Recommended referral of Proposal 03-306 to the Executive Board to consider ways to facilitate discussion of new proposals during Biennial Meetings.
Action by 2005 General Assembly	Adopted recommendation of 2005 Task Force III to refer Proposal 03-306 to the Executive Board.
Action by USFDA	Concurred with Conference action.
Action by 2007 Executive Board	Recommended no action on Proposal 03-306. Rationale - Executive Board has taken steps to address the concerns outlined in Proposal 03-306.

**Action by 2007
Task Force III** Recommended adoption of Executive Board recommendation on Proposal 03-306.

**Action by 2007
General Assembly** Adopted recommendation of 2007 Task Force III.

**Action by
USFDA** December 20, 2007
Concurred with Conference action.