Proposal No.

17-104

| Proposal f at the ISSO | or Task Force Consideration C 2017 Biennial Meetinga.Image: Growing Area Harvesting/Handling/Distribution c.a.Image: Growing Area |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Submitter | US Food & Drug Administration (FDA) |
| Affiliation | US Food & Drug Administration (FDA) |
| Address Line 1 | 5001 Campus Drive |
| Address Line 2 | CPK1, HFS-325 |
| City, State, Zip | College Park, MD 20740 |
| Phone | 240-402-1401 |
| Fax | 301-436-2601 |
| Email | Melissa.Abbott@fda.hhs.gov |
| Proposal Subject | Guidance for verifying the performance of a quantitative single laboratory validated (SLV) method of analysis being transferred from the originating laboratory/submitter to the implementing laboratory before being placed in service by the implementing laboratory. |
| Specific NSSP Guide Reference | Section IV Guidance Documents – Chapter II. Growing Areas |
| Text of Proposal/ Requested Action | Section IV Guidance Documents – Chapter II. Growing Areas <u>.20 Quantitative</u> <u>Analytical Method Verification</u> |
| | This guidance is provided to verify the performance of a quantitative single laboratory validated (SLV) method of analysis being transferred from the originating laboratory/submitter to the implementing laboratory before being placed in service by the implementing laboratory. The following performance criteria are to be verified: recovery, precision (repeatability or intermediate precision), linear range, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantitation (LOQ), measurement uncertainty and comparability when applicable to a new or modified method used as a substitute/alternative to an established (NSSP) method. |
| | Recovery is the fraction or percentage of an analyte(s)/measurand(s)/organism(s) of interest recovered after sample analysis. |
| | Precision is the closeness of agreement between independent test results obtained under the stipulated conditions of repeatability (same laboratory, same analyst) or intermediate precision (same laboratory, different/multiple analysts). |
| | Linear Range is the range within the working range where the results are proportional to the concentration of the analyte(s)/measurand(s)/organism(s) of interest present in the sample. |
| | Limit of Detection (LOD) is the minimum concentration at which the analyte(s)/measurand(s)/organism(s) of interest can be identified under the conditions of the test. |
| | Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) is the minimum concentration of analyte(s)/measurand(s)/ organism(s) of interest that can be quantified with an acceptable level of precision and accuracy under the conditions of the test. |
| | Measurement Uncertainty is a single parameter (usually a standard deviation or |

| confidence interval) expressing the possible range of values around the measured |
|--|
| result within which the true value is expected to be with a stated degree of |
| probability. It takes into account all recognized effects operating on the result |
| including overall precision of the complete method, the method and laboratory bias |
| and matrix effects. |
| |
| Comparability is the acceptability of a new or modified method as a |
| substitute/alternative for an established (NSSP) method. |
| |
| Suggested Test Procedure: Shellfish |
| Use samples free of the target analyte(s)/measurand(s)/organism(s) of interest. For |
| each shellfish type of interest use a minimum of 12 shellfish per sample and |
| prepare as a homogenate. For each sample take a minimum of six aliquots of the |
| homogenate appropriately sized for the work and spike five of the six aliquots with |
| five different concentrations of the target analyte(s)/measurand(s)/organism(s) of |
| interest spanning 50-150% of the working range/range of interest for the method |
| under study. Do not spike the sixth aliquot of each sample as this is the sample |
| blank. Process each aliquot including the sample blank to determine the |
| concentration of the target analyte(s)/measurand(s)/organism(s) of interest. Do |
| three replicates for each aliquot excluding the sample blank. Do only one blank per |
| sample. Repeat this process with a minimum of three samples for each shellfish |
| type of interest collected from different growing areas, the same growing area |
| harvested on different days or from different process lots. Use the same spike level |
| for each sample analyzed. |
| |
| |
| Suggested Test Procedure: Comparability Testing of Shellfish for Methods |
| Used as a Substitute/Alternative for an Established (NSSP) Method |
| For each shellfish type of interest use a minimum of 12 shellfish per sample and |
| prepare as a homogenate. For each sample take two aliquots and analyze one by |
| the established (NSSP) method and the other by the substitute/alternative method. |
| Naturally contaminated (incurred) samples having a variety of concentrations |
| spanning the range of the intended application of the method should be used in the |
| comparison. Analyze a minimum of eight paired samples from different growing |
| areas, the same growing area harvested on different days, from different process |
| lots and covering different seasons as necessary. In case the target |
| analyte(s)/measurand(s)/organism(s) of interest are intermittently present, spiked |
| samples may be used as described above. |
| |
| Suggested Test Procedure: Water (growing water, wastewater, etc.) |
| Use samples free of the target analyte(s)/measurand(s)/organism(s) of interest. For |
| each sample take a minimum of six aliquots of the sample appropriately sized for |
| the work and spike five of the six aliquots with five different concentrations of the |
| target analyte(s)/measurand(s)/organism(s) of interest spanning 50-150% of the |
| working range/range of interest for the method under study. Do not spike the sixth |
| aliquot of each sample as this is the sample blank. Process each aliquot including |
| |
| the sample blank to determine the concentration of the target |
| the sample blank to determine the concentration of the target analyte(s)/measurand(s)/organism(s) of interest. Do three replicates for each |
| the sample blank to determine the concentration of the target analyte(s)/measurand(s)/organism(s) of interest. Do three replicates for each aliquot excluding the sample blank. Do only one blank per sample. Repeat this |
| the sample blank to determine the concentration of the target analyte(s)/measurand(s)/organism(s) of interest. Do three replicates for each aliquot excluding the sample blank. Do only one blank per sample. Repeat this process with a minimum of three samples choosing samples from different growing |

17-104

| uggested Test Procedure: Comparability Testing of Water for Methods Used |
|--|
| s a Substitute/Alternative for an Established (NSSP) Method |
| or each sample take two aliquots and analyze for the target |
| nalyte(s)/measurand(s)/ organism(s) of interest by both the established (NSSP) |
| nethod and the substitute/alternative method. Naturally contaminated (incurred) |
| amples having a variety of concentrations spanning the range of the intended |
| pplication of the method should be used in the comparison. Analyze a minimum |
| f eight paired samples from different growing areas/wastewater plants, etc. |
| overing different seasons as necessary. In case the target |
| nalyte(s)/measurand(s)/organism(s) of interest are intermittently present, spiked |
| amples may be used as described above. |
| uggested Data Handling; For microbiological methods use log transformed data. |
| Calculate the percent recovery by comparing the average recovery of the method to |
| ne average spike concentration. |
| |
| alculate the precision (repeatability, same laboratory, same analyst or intermediate |
| recision, same laboratory, multiple/different analysts) by determining the |
| oefficient of variation of the test data. |
| alculate the linear range by plotting the test data versus the spike concentration |
| nd determining the correlation coefficient |
| na accomming the contraction coefficient. |
| Calculate the limit of quantitation (LOO) by plotting the coefficient of variation for |
| triplicates of each of five concentrations used per sample versus the spike |
| oncentration. There will be fifteen data points to be plotted. Using the equation |
| f the line ($y = mx + b$) where m is the slope and b is the y-intercept, calculate the |
| OQ by setting y = 10% (0.1) and solving the equation for x (the LOQ). |
| Colorate the limit of detection (I, OD) by dividing the limit of quantitation (I, OO) |
| accurate the limit of detection (LOD) by dividing the limit of quantitation (LOQ) ~ 2.2 or here wind the exception of the line and extring the 220° (0.22) and extring |
| <u>y 5.5 or by using the equation of the fine and setting $y = 55\%$ (0.55) and solving an equation for x (the LOD)</u> |
| te equation for x (the LOD). |
| alculate the measurement uncertainty by subtracting the test results from the spike |
| accurate the measurement uncertainty by subfracting the test results from the spike |
| oncentration that produced the result and determining the two-sided 95% |
| ncertainty of the test data |
| neerunity of the test dutt. |
| Calculate the two-sided 95% confidence interval estimate for the regression line (as |
| whole) relating the established (NSSP) method and the substitute/alternative |
| nethod. |
| ungested Method Acceptance. Compare the performance criteria calculated in |
| age set of the period of the set of the period of the period of the study with the values obtained in the original single |
| aboratory validation (SLV) submission by calculating the two-sided 05% |
| onfidence interval for the laboratory's mean recovery astimated LOD and LOO |
| f the ranges calculated for the recovery I OD I OO and measurement uncertainty. |
| neormass (intersect) the values for the mean recovery I OD I OO and |
| neonipass (intersect) the values for the international SLV and the data is linear over |
| reasurement uncertainty obtained from the original SLV and the data is linear over |

Proposal No.

| | the working range/range of interest with a precision/coefficient of variation which does not exceed that obtained in the original SLV, then it can be concluded that the method (which does not also require comparability testing) has been successfully transferred. For methods that also require comparability testing, the two-sided 95% confidence interval of the regression line relating the established (NSSP) method and the substitute/alternative method should encompass the slope of the regression line relating the two methods in the original SLV. This requirement in addition to the substitute/alternative method meeting the requirements for recovery, LOD, |
|------------------|--|
| | LOQ, measurement uncertainty, precision and linearity are necessary in order to |
| | conclude that the method has been successfully transferred. |
| Public Health | With the number of new analytical methods being adopted for use in the NSSP, it is |
| Significance | necessary to have a standardized approach to verify the successful transfer of the |
| _ | method from the originating laboratory/SLV submitter to the implementing |
| | laboratory before the method is placed in service. |
| Cost Information | Not Available |