15-109

Proposal for Task Force Consideration at the ISSC 2017 Biennial Meeting		<ul> <li>a. ⊠ Growing Area</li> <li>b. □ Harvesting/Handling/Distribution</li> <li>c. □ Administrative</li> </ul>
Submitter	Alison Sirois and Jackie Knue	
Affiliation	Department of marine Resource Laboratory	s and Alaska State Environmental Health
Address Line 1	194 McKown Point Road and 52	251 Dr. MLK Jr., Avenue
Address Line 2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
City, State, Zip	West Boothbay Harbor, ME 04575 and Anchorage, AK 99507	
Phone	207-633-9401 and 907-375-8229	
Fax	207-633-9579 and 907-929-7335	
Email	Alison.Sirois@maine.gov and Jacqueline.Knue@alaska.gov	
Proposal Subject	PSP HPLC-PCOX Species Expansion	
Specific NSSP	Section IV. Guidance Documents	
Guide Reference	Chapter II Growing Areas	
	.11 Approved NSSP Laboratory	Tests
Text of Proposal/	4. Approved Limited Use Methods for Marine Biotoxin Testing PCOX	
Requested Action	<ul> <li>This submission presents data to support the use of PCOX method for Quahogs (M. mercenaria and A. icelandica), Surf Clams (S. solidissima), Geoducks (P. generosa), Butter Clams (S. giganteus), Little Neck Clams (P. stamineais), and Razor Clams (S. patula) for regulatory paralytic shellfish toxin (PST) testing. Results of the 2009 Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Conference (ISSC) proposal 09-104 concluded the PCOX method approved for official use as a Type IV method; subsequently after single laboratory validation (SLV) and collaborative studies, ISSC proposal 13-309 accepted PCOX method as an AOAC official method of analysis (OMA) in 2013. Currently PCOX is an "Approved for Limited Use" method for mussel, clam, oyster and scallop. SLV work will be presented for quahogs, surf clams, geoducks, butter clams, little neck clams, and razor clams that demonstrates comparable performance characteristics for these species as with mussels, clams, oysters, and scallops using the PCOX method.</li> <li>The cost and challenges associated with maintaining both the MBA and PCOX methods for these species are high; differing laboratory skill sets are required and state laboratories have limited budgets and staff resources. Additionally, the recent shortage of the NIST saxitoxin standard used for MBA proficiencies is of concern if laboratories are expected to maintain MBA for verification purposes for these species.</li> </ul>	
	The requested action is being m of quahogs, surf clams, geoduct as approved species (by addition oysters, and scallops or as the Section IV Guidance Documen Methods Table, Methods for Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning Classification Sample Type: Semple Type: Shellfish	ade and data presented for the purpose of inclusion ks, butter clams, little neck clams, and razor clams ion to the footnote that includes mussels, clams, ISSC deems appropriate) within the NSSP Guide ts Chapter II. Growing Areas .11 Laboratory Tests Marine Biotoxin Testing with Biotoxin Type: (PSP), Application: Growing Area Survey & Shellfish And Application: Controlled Relaying
Public Health	The PCOX method was develo	pped to provide a rapid, high throughput chemical

Proposal No.

Significance	assay that would eliminate the need to sacrifice animals, AOAC mouse bioassay (MBA), for toxin detection. There is a worldwide move to replace assays that use live animals as test subjects. Laboratories currently using PCOX for regulatory PST testing have found that the lower detection limits of the PCOX method allow for better early warning therefore better management of PST closures and significantly improved public health decision-making. The addition of the proposed species will allow regulatory laboratories to move away from the costliness of maintaining MBA and eliminate the need to sacrifice animals as well as improve management of species specific closure decision–making.
Cost Information	Total consumable costs for the analysis is estimated at \$10/sample. A chemistry laboratory will usually be equipped with an LC system and a post column reactor to carry out the analysis. Total capital costs for the instrumentation required for the analysis is approximately \$120,000. Although the upfront investment for instrumentation is high, the removal of care, maintenance, and cost of mice quickly offsets this expenditure.
Action by 2015 Laboratory Method Review Committee	Recommended referral of Proposal 15-109 to an appropriate committee as determined by the Conference Chair for evaluation of data and until additional data are received.
Action by 2015	Recommended adoption of 2015 Laboratory Method Review Committee
Action by 2015 General Assembly	Adopted recommendation of Task Force I on Proposal 15-109.
Action by FDA January 11, 2016	Concurred with Conference action on Proposal 15-109.