Proposal No.

15-226

	al for Task Force Consideration SSC 2017 Biennial Meeting	 Growing Area Harvesting/Handling/Distribution Administrative
Submitter	Executive Office	
Affiliation	Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Conference (ISSC)	
Address Line 1	209 Dawson Road	
Address Line 2	Suite 1	
City, State, Zip	Columbia, SC 29223-1740	
Phone	803-788-7559	
Fax	803-788-7576	
Email	issc@issc.org	
Proposal Subject	V.p. Illness Response Guidance Docum	ent
Specific NSSP	Section IV. Guidance Documents	
Guide Reference	Chapter V. Illness Outbreaks and Recall Guidance	
Text of Proposal/ Requested Action	Add new section: .03 V.p. Illness Response Guidance Document I. Introduction	
	 Chapter II @.02 Shellfish Related Illnesses Associated with Vibrio parahaemolytical (V.p.) is intended to address three (3) distinct V.p. illness situations as follows: A. Traditional sporadic cases from a State in which single cases occur that most often do not involve a single growing area and occur weeks or months apar. The occurrences of these types of illnesses have historically been considered at an acceptable risk in the National Shellfish Sanitation Program (NSSP) and have not involved closures or recalls. B. Frequent sporadic cases which often begin when water temperatures reach level which supports reproduction of V.p. to levels which can cause illness. The illness risk usually persists until the environmental conditions no longer support V.p. levels of illness causing potential. This illness situation involves clusters or sporadic cases in multiple individual growing areas or may be limited to a singl growing area when the environmental conditions are favorable for the persistence of illness causing levels of V.p. C. A true outbreak with multiple cases with multiple harvest areas and varyin 	
	area. The outbreak may be cha a single growing area is usually from a single harvest day or fro The strains of <i>V.p.</i> associated with these attack rates are very different and the	es a more widespread contamination of a growin aracterized by a high attack rate. In this situation a involved with multiple cases of illness occurrin m a relatively short harvest time frame. e different illness situations are not the same. The reported illnesses reflect the differences in attact is time consuming, knowing the strain aids the ng the problem.
	II. Illness Investigation	

When the investigation outlined in Section @.01 A. indicates the illness(es) are
associated with the naturally occurring pathogen Vibrio parahaemolyticus (V.p.), the
Authority shall determine the number of laboratory confirmed cases epidemiologically
associated with the implicated area and actions taken by the Authority will be based on
the number of cases and the span of time.

The Shellfish Control Authority is encouraged to coordinate the investigation and response with other appropriate State entities and the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to facilitate and streamline the reporting process to promote prompt and appropriate regulatory responses to illness.

III. Risk per Serving Determinations

In determining a risk per serving, the Shellfish Control Authority should use a recognized serving size and credible landing data. The period of time for evaluating the risk per serving should be consistent with the time of harvest of the shellfish that was associated with the illness (es) and should not exceed thirty (30) days

IV. Regulatory Response

When a case(s) is reported, the State Shellfish Control Authority will determine the number of cases and the time period between the harvest dates of reported cases and the extent of the implicated area.

When determining the number of illnesses in the thirty (30) day period, the harvest date will be used. When an illness occurs, the Shellfish Control Authority will determine the number of cases that have occurred during the previous thirty (30) days. Every subsequent harvest associated with a new reported case will require a review of the previous thirty (30) days.

- A. Should the number of cases and the period of time result in a risk that is less than
 one (1) per 100,000 servings or involves at least two (2) but not more than four
 (4) cases in which no two of these were from a single harvest day from an
 implicated area, the State Shellfish Control Authority will evaluate and attempt
 to ensure compliance, where appropriate, with the existing Vibrio Management
 Plan. Regulatory response to multiple illnesses occurring from a single harvest
 day from an implicated area are addressed in IV. B and IV. C.
- B. Should the number of cases and the period of time result in a risk that exceeds one (1) illness per 100,000 servings or if the number of cases within a thirty (30) day period from the implicated area is more than four (4) but less than ten (10) or if two (2) or more but less than four (4) cases occur from a single harvest day from the implicated area, the Shellfish Control Authority is required to:
 - (1) Determine the extent of the implicated area; and
 - (2) Immediately place the implicated portion(s) of the harvest area(s) in the closed status; and
 - (3) As soon as determined by the Authority, transmit to the FDA and receiving

States information identifying the dealers shipping the implicated shellfish
The notification is intended to facilitate the reporting of other illnesses that may have occurred associated with the implicated harvest area. Although the State is not required to report this information to the Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Conference (ISSC), if requested, the ISSC will assist the States with notification.
C. Should the number of cases exceed ten (10) within a thirty (30) day period or four (4) or more cases occurred from a single harvest day from the implicated area, the Shellfish Control Authority is required to:
 (1) Determine the extent of the implicated area; and (2) Immediately place the implicated portion(s) of the harvest area(s) in the closed status; and (3) Promptly initiate a voluntary industry recall consistent with the Recall Enforcement Policy, Title 21 CFR Part 7 unless the Authority determines that a recall is not required where the implicated product is no longer available on the market or when the Authority determines that a recall would not be effective in preventing additional illnesses. The recall shall include all implicated products; and (4) Issue a consumer advisory for all shellfish (or species implicated in the illness). The consumer advisory shall be in the form of a news release and will be shared with the State Shellfish Control Authorities in all states receiving the implicated shellfish.
<u>V. Closure Periods</u>
A. When the risk exceeds one (1) illness per 100,000 servings within a thirty (30) day period or cases exceed four (4) but not more than ten (10) cases over a thirty (30) day period from the implicated area or two (2) or more cases but less than four (4) cases occur from a single harvest date from the implicated area the Shellfish Control Authority will close the implicated growing area. The area will remain closed for a minimum of fourteen (14) days.
B. When the number of cases exceeds ten (10) illnesses within thirty (30) days or four (4) cases occur from a single harvest date from the implicated area the Shellfish Control Authority will close the implicated growing area. The area will remain closed for a minimum of twenty-one (21) days.
VI. Reopening of Closed Areas
Prior to reopening an area closed as a result of the number of cases exceeding ten (10) illnesses within thirty (30) days or four (4) cases from a single harvest date from the implicated area, the Authority shall:A. Collect and analyze samples to ensure that tdh does not exceed 10/g and trh does
not exceed 10/g or other such values as determined appropriate by the Authority based on studies.
B. Ensure that environmental conditions have returned to levels not associated with <u>V.p. cases.</u>

	C. Implicated areas that have been closed when the risk exceeds one (1) illness per 100,000 servings within a thirty (30) day period or cases exceed four (4) but not more than ten (10) cases over a thirty (30) day period from the implicated area or two (2) or more cases but less than four (4) cases occur from a single harvest date from the implicated area do not require sampling or review of environmental conditions prior to reopening.
	VII. Harvesting From Closed Areas
	Shellfish harvesting may occur in an area closed as a result of <i>V.p.</i> illnesses when the Authority implements one or more of the following controls:
	A. Post-harvest processing using a process that has been validated to achieve a two (2) log reduction in the levels of total <i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i> for Gulf and Atlantic Coast oysters and/or hard clams and a three (3) log reduction for Pacific Coast oysters and/or hard clams:
	B. Restricting oyster and/or hard clam harvest to product that is labeled for shucking by a certified dealer, or other means to allow the hazard to be addressed by further processing:
	C. Other control measures that based on appropriate scientific studies are designed to ensure that the risk of <i>V.p.</i> illness is no longer reasonably likely to occur, as approved by the Authority.
	VIII. Laboratory
	All laboratory analyses shall be performed by a laboratory found to conform or provisionally conform by the FDA Shellfish Laboratory Evaluation Office or FDA certified State Shellfish Laboratory Evaluation Officer in accordance with the requirements established under the NSSP.
	IX. Approved Laboratory Methods
	Methods for the analyses of shellfish and shellfish growing or harvest waters shall be:
	The Approved NSSP Methods validated for use in the National Shellfish Sanitation Program under Procedure XVI. of the Constitution, Bylaws and Procedures of the ISSC and/or cited in the NSSP Guide for the Control of Molluscan Shellfish Section IV Guidance Documents Chapter II. Growing Areas .11 Approved National Shellfish Sanitation Program Laboratory Tests.
Public Health Significance	The purpose of this document is to provide guidance to States in implementing the requirements of Chapter II. @.02 Shellfish Related Illnesses Associated with Vibrio parahaemolyticus (V.p.).
Cost Information	
Action by 2015 Task Force II	Recommended referral of Proposal 15-226 to an appropriate committee as determined by the Conference Chair with instruction to remove this section from the NSSP Guide as interim guidance.
Action by 2015 General Assembly	Adopted recommendation of Task Force II on Proposal 15-226.

15-226

Action by FDA	Concurred with Conference action on Proposal 15-226.
January 11, 2016	