

Proposal for Task Force Consideration at the ISSC 2015 Biennial Meeting		Growing Area	
		☐ Harvesting/Handling/Distribution	
	g		
		□ Administrative	
Submitter	Amy M. Fitzpatrick		
Affiliation	U.S. Food & Drug Administration		
Address Line 1	One Montvale Ave, 4 th Floor		
Address Line 2	N/A		
City, State, Zip	Stoneham, MA 02180		
Phone	781-587-7445		
Fax	781-587-7558		
Email	amy.fitzpatrick@fda.hhs.gov		
Proposal Subject	Sanitary Survey Report Format		
Specific NSSP	Section II. Model Ordinance Chapter IV. Shellstock Growing Areas		
Guide Reference	@01. Sanitary Survey and		
	Section IV. Guidance Documents	Chapter II. Growing Areas	
	.04 Sanitary Survey and the Classi	fication of Growing Waters.	
Text of Proposal/	Model Ordinance Chapter IV. Shellstock Growing Areas		
Requested Action	@.01 Sanitary Survey		
	(C) Sanitary Survey Performance		
	(1) A sanitary survey of e	each growing area shall be performed at least once	
	(1) in the following of	its and shall include the components in Section A.	
	(1.) <u>In the following of</u>		
	A. Executive Summa B. Description of Gro	I <u>v</u>	
	$\frac{\mathbf{D}}{(1)} = \mathbf{L}$	<u>number of the showing growing area</u>	
	$\frac{(1)}{(2)} \qquad \text{Description}$	on of area and its boundaries	
	(3) History of	growing area classification	
	(i) D	ate of last sanitary survey	
	(ii) Pr	revious classification(s) map(s)	
	C. Pollution Source S	burvey	
	(1) Summary	of Sources and Location	
	<u>(i) In</u>	formation gathered under the shoreline survey	
	re	<u>quirements outlined in (D).</u>	
	<u>(ii) M</u>	lap or chart showing the location of major sources	
	<u>of</u>	actual or potential pollution in the survey area	
	in	cluding a table of sources of pollution cross-	
		terenced to the survey area map.	
	(2) Detailed	description, identification, evaluation, and	
	determina	dentified during the charaline surgery on united	
	<u>sources</u> in	reughout the growing area	
	D Hydrographic and	Meteorological Characteristics	
	$\frac{D. Hydrographic and}{(1)}$	ne and amplitude) and currents (velocity and	
	direction)	pe and ampilitude), and currents (verocity and	
	(2) Rainfall a	nd/or snowmelt	
	(i) A	mount	
	(ii) W	Then (e.g. time of year)	
	(iii) Fi	requency of significant rainfalls	
	(iv) W	<u>Vinds (Seasonality and effects on pollution</u>	
	di	spersion)	



	(3) River discharges (volume and seasonality)
	(4) Discussion concerning effects of pollution distribution an
	hydrographic factors (dilution, dispersion, and time of travel
	on water quality throughout the growing area
	(i) Salinity, depth, and stratification characteristics
	(ii) Computer model verification if used for classification
E.	Water Ouality Studies
	(1) Map of sampling stations
	(2) Sampling plan and justification
	(i) Adverse condition sampling: and/or
	(ii) Random sampling
	(3) Sample Data Analysis and Presentation: Tables containin
	the basic NSSP statistics (number of samples, median of
	geometric mean, and the respective variability factors)
	(i) Station by station monitoring data array collected
	under the adverse condition or systematic random
	sampling monitoring strategy
	(ii) Daily sampling results and number of sample
	collected for survey
	(iii) Overall compliance with NSSP criteria
	(iv) Sorting of data by environmental pollution, seasona
	and/or meteorological condition
	(v) Classification assigned to each station
<u>F.</u>	Interpretation of Data in Determining Classification to E
	Assigned to Growing Area: A discussion of how actual
	potential pollution sources, wind, tide, rainfall, etc. affect or ma
	affect water quality, that will address the following:
	(1) Effects of meteorological and hydrographic conditions of
	bacterial loading
	(2) Variability in the bacteriological data and causes
<u>G.</u>	Conclusions
	(1) Map or chart showing classification assigned to growing
	area(s) (closure lines, boundary lines separating various
	<u>classifications)</u>
	(2) Legal description of growing area boundaries
	(3) Management plan for growing area if in the conditional
	approved or conditionally restricted classification meeting
	the requirements in (C.)
	(4) Recommendations for sanitary survey improvement
	(i) Changes in monitoring schedules, addition
	sampling stations or station relocation, etc.
<u>H.</u>	Comments
Guidance Docu	ments Chapter II. Growing Areas
.04 Sanitary Su	rvey and the Classification of Growing Waters
Minimum Req	fuirements of the Sanitary Survey Report
The following	outline contains the minimum requirements for the written growing
area sanitary s i	arvey report required in the NSSP Model Ordinance.
A. Execut	ive Summary
B. Descri	ption of Growing Area
(1)	- Location map or chart showing growing area



(2) Description of area and its boundaries
(3) History of growing area classification
* Date of last sanitary survey
* Previous classification(s) map(s) C. Pollution Source Survey
(1) Summary of Sources and Location
* Information gathered under the shoreline survey procedures
outlined above.
* Map or chart showing the location of major sources of actual
or potential pollution in the survey area.
* Table of sources of pollution cross-referenced to the survey
arca map.
(2) Identification and evaluation of pollution sources
* Domestic wastes (discussion and maps)
* North Water * A scientise langets (for such for thete Replaced land being such that
* Wildlife error
$\xrightarrow{\text{m}} \text{WHOHEOAFCAS}$
The user of the second
$\frac{D}{D} = \frac{D}{D} + \frac{D}$
(1) Figs (type and ampirtude), and currents (velocity and direction)
$\stackrel{\text{\tiny and}}{=} \frac{\text{Amount}}{\text{Minor}} $
* Engrander of significant minfalls
* Winds (Seesonality and effects on pollution dispersion)
(2) Piver discharges (volume and seasonality)
(4) Discussion concerning offects of nollution distribution and hudrographic
(4) Discussion concerning circles of ponution distribution and hydrographic factors (dilution dispersion and time of travel) on water quality throughout
the growing area
* Solinity dopth and stratification characteristics
* Computer model verification if used for elessification
E Water Onality Studies
(1) Man of campling stations
(2) Sampling plan and justification
<u>* Adverse condition compling</u>
* Pandom sampling
(3) Sample Data Analysis and Presentation: Tables containing the basic
NSSP statistics (number of samples median or geometric mean and the
respective variability factore)
* Station by station monitoring data array collected under the
adverse condition or systematic random sampling monitoring strategy
* Daily sampling results and number of samples collected for survey
* Overall compliance with NSSP criteria
* Sorting of data by environmental pollution condition
* Classification assigned to each station
F. Interpretation of Data in Determining Classification to Be Assigned to
Growing Area: A discussion of how actual or potential pollution sources,
wind, tide, rainfall, etc. affect or may affect water quality, that will address the
following:
(1) Effects of meteorological and hydrographic conditions on bacterial
loading
(2) Variability in the bacteriological data and causes
G. Conclusions
(1) Map or chart showing classification assigned to growing area(s) (closure



	lines, boundary lines separating various classifications)
	(2) Legal description of growing area boundaries
	(3) Management plan for growing area if in the conditionally approved
	or conditionally restricted elassification
	(4) Recommendations for sanitary survey improvement
	* Changes in monitoring schedules, addition of sampling stations or
	station relocation, etc.
	* Comments
Public Health	The Model Ordinance Guidance Documents contain the outline of the minimum
Significance	requirements for the written sanitary survey report based on the requirements of the
C	Model Ordinance. The guidance represents the ISSC's (state, federal, and industry)
	current thinking on the requirements for a sanitary survey, other reports, and the
	classification of growing areas. An alternative approach may be used if such approach
	satisfies the requirements of the applicable statute, regulations, and the Guide for the
	Control of Molluscan Shellfish. The requirement should not be in Guidance, but in
	the compliance language portion of the Model Ordinance
	the compliance language portion of the frieder of antance.
	The primary responsibility of the State Shellfish Control Authority is to ensure the
	nublic health safety of the shellfish growing areas through compliance with the NSSP
	Model Ordinance The Authority must perform a sanitary survey that collects and
	avaluates information concerning actual and notantial pollution sources that may
	evaluates information concerning actual and potential pondition sources that may
	adversely affect the water quality in each growing area. Based on the samtary survey
	information, the authority determines what use can be made of the shellstock from the
	growing area and assigns the growing area classification. Experience has shown that
	the minimum sanitary survey components required in this guidance are necessary for
	a reliable sanitary survey and since the State Shellfish Control Authorities are
	evaluated for conformance with the minimum requirements, the language should be
	moved to the satisfactory compliance section.
Cost Information	N/A