

TATION CONFERD		☐ Growing Area	
Proposal for Task Force	Consideration at the	☐ Glowing Area ☐ Harvesting/Handling/Distribution	
ISSC 2015 Biennial Meeting		☐ Administrative	
	8	☐ Administrative	
Submitter	Recall Guidance Committee		
Affiliation	Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Conference (ISSC)		
Address Line 1	209 Dawson Road		
Address Line 2	Suite 1		
City, State, Zip	Columbia, SC 29223-1740		
Phone	803-788-7559		
Fax	803-788-7576		
Email	issc@issc.org		
Proposal Subject	Determining the Size of Closed Area as a Result of Illnesses		
Specific NSSP	Section IV. Guidance Documents		
Guide Reference	Chapter II. Risk Assessment and Risk Management		
Text of Proposal/	.03. Determining the Size of Closed Area as a Result of Illnesses		
Requested Action			
_	A. Barriers that would inhibit pathogen and toxin distribution within the		
	growing area (based on documented data/information in the sanitary survey		
	considering the following, as applicable:		
	(1) Salinity		
	(2) Temperature		
	(3) Stratification		
	(4) Circulation		
	(5) Hydrographic patt	terns and bathymetry	
	B. Water movement (based on documented information in sanitary survey)		
	considering the following, as applicable:		
	(1) Tidal influence and range		
	(2) Flows		
	(3) Precipitation (4) Wind		
	<u>(4) Wind</u>		
	C. Laboratory results and/or field measurements and/or other releva		
information or data.			
	<u>information of data.</u>		
	D. Closure boundaries		
	(1) Must be enforceat	ole.	
		e area, a whole area, or all or parts of multiple areas	
		of areas and pattern of harvest-related illnesses.	
		area may change over time as more information is	
	```	r quality/tissue samples show no exceedance.	
		information to the contrary, the entire harvest area	
	should be closed.		
	E. If sufficient data listed	in .03 (A D.) is not available then the entire	
	growing area(s) should	immediately be closed. If data is obtained at a later	
	date that can further de	efine the spatial extent of source of the implicated	
		d closure area within the shellfish growing area(s)	
		he authority with subsequent changes to associated	
	embargoes or recalls.		
	_ ~		
	F. Species subject to closur	<u>re.</u>	



VITATION CONFERENCE		
	Closure may be limited to where specific species are harvested in an area or limited to certain species (NSSP Chapter II @.01.G (4)).	
	infined to certain species (NSSP Chapter II @.01.G (4)).	
	.04. Determining the Harvesting Periods Associated with Implicated Product for Identifying Shellfish to be Included in the Recall	
	 A. Identify the harvest date of all reported illness(es). B. Determining the likelihood of product remaining in the marketplace with consideration of shellstock vs. in-shell vs. fresh shucked vs. frozen shucked. C. Identify the date of [last] most recently reported illness(es) and the date of growing area closure 	
	.05 Determining the Scope of Implicated Product for Conducting a Recall	
	A. Are illnesses related to: (1) single harvester (2) single dealer or (3) single route of transportation (4) single retailer (5) single consumption event (e.g. party) (6) single product type or species (7) single growing area or harvest area	
	B. Have any post-harvest handling issues been identified that may have contributed to the occurrence of illness(es) including but not limited to harvesters, dealers, restaurants, retail, common carriers, or consumers.	
	 C. Production Consideration Harvest event(s) and amount of production from growing area or areas (if commingling has occurred). Number of harvesters associated with implicated shellfish Number of dealers associated with implicated shellfish Determine likelihood of product remaining in the marketplace (shellstock vs. in-shell vs. fresh shucked vs. frozen shucked). Harvest or culture practices including wet storage, relay, resubmergence, transplant, etc. 	
	D. Strength of evidence, i.e. the evaluation should consider strength of evidence collected in relation to items .05 A., B., and C. above.	
Public Health		
Significance		
Cost Information		