

Proposal Subject	Identification of Wet Stored Shellstock
Specific NSSP Guide Reference	NSSP Guide Model Ordinance Chapter X. General Requirements for Dealers .05 Shellstock Identification B. Tags (2) (c) (e) (g)
Text of Proposal/ Requested Action	<p>.05 Shellstock Identification</p> <p>B Tags</p> <p>1) Tags.....</p> <p>2) The dealer's tag ... below:</p> <p>(a) The dealer's name;</p> <p>(b) The dealer's certification ...;</p> <p>(c) The original shellstock shipper's ...;</p> <p>(d) The date of harvest; or if wet stored or depurated, the date of wet storage or depuration processing;</p> <p>(e) (f) The most precise identification of the harvest location as is practicable including the initials of the state of harvest, and the Authority's designation of the growing area by indexing, administrative or geographic designation. If growing areas have not been indexed by the Authority, then an appropriate geographical or administrative designation must be used (e.g. Long Bay, Decadent County, lease number, bed, or lot number).</p> <p>(f) If wet stored in artificial bodies of water or depurated, the wet storage lot number of depuration cycle number or lot number;</p> <p>(g) When shellstock is placed in wet storage in state: (the statement "THIS PRODUCT WAS WET STORED FROM (DATE) TO (DATE)")</p> <p>(g) (h) When the shellstock has been</p> <p>(h) (i) The following statement.....</p> <p>(i) (j) All shellstock.....</p>
Public Health Significance	Product wet stored in state is not identified as wet stored. Wet storage in artificial bodies of water is closer to depuration than wet storage using approved or conditionally approved waters so the tagging criteria should be similar.
Cost Information (if available)	Not known.
Action by 2003 Task Force II	Recommended referral of Proposal 03-203 to the appropriate committee as determined by the Conference Chairman.
Action by 2003 General Assembly	Adopted recommendation of 2003 Task Force II.
Action by USFDA	Concurred with Conference Action.
Action by 2005 PHP Committee	Recommended adoption of Proposal 03-203 except for paragraph (g). The current language in the Model Ordinance should be retained for (g) rather than the proposed paragraph (g).
Action by 2005 Task Force II	Recommended referral of Proposal 03-203 to appropriate committee as determined by the Conference Chairman, with the following instructions: At a minimum, resolve product identity concerns relative to date of removal from a growing area.
Action by 2005 General Assembly	Adopted recommendation of 2005 Task Force II.
Action by USFDA	Concurred with Conference action.