Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Conference Name of Submitter: Affiliation: PAC RIM Shellfish Conference (Jennifer Tebaldi) Address: PO Box 47824 Olympia, WA 98504-7824 Phone: Fax: For Box 236-2357 Email: Proposal Subject: Specific NSSP Guide Reference: (a) The dealer's certification (b) The dealer's certification (c) The dealer's certification (d) The date of harvest (e) If depurated (i) The most precise (g) When the shellstock has been transported from the last growing area as the harvest date; (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) The following statement (h) When the shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest date; (ii) If the following statement (g) Mis hellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the other product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Recommended referral of Proposal 03-204 to the appropriate committee as determined by the Conference Chairman. Adopted recommendation of 2003 Task Force II. Concurred with Conference Action. USFDA	2009 Biennial Meeting	orce Consideration at the Growing Area Marvesting/Handling/Distribution
Address: Address: PAC RIM Shellfish Conference Washington State Department of Health PO Box 47824 Olympia, WA 98504-7824 Phone: 360-236-3330 360-236-2357 Email: Jennifer.tebaldi@doh.wa.gov Proposal Subject: Hentification of Wet Stored Shellstock Specific NSSP Guide Reference: Chapter X. General Requirements for Dealers Ø.05 Shellstock Identification B. Tags (2) Text of Proposal/ Requested Action Requested Action (a) The dealer's aname (b) The dealer's certification (c) The original shellstock (d) The date of harvest (e) If depurated (f) The most precise (g) When the shellstock has been transported from the original area and wet stored in another approved growing area within the same state for at least two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest date; (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (b) The type and quantity (c) The type and quantity (d) The type and quantity (e) (h) The type and quantity (f) The proposal area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. None Recommended referral of Proposal 03-204 to the appropriate committee as determined by the Conference Chairman. Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Concurred with Conference Action.		Sanitation Conference
Address: Washington State Department of Health PO Box 47824 Olympia, WA 98504-7824 Phone: 360-236-3330 360-236-237 3600-236-2257 Jemnil: Jennifer.tebaldi@doh.wa.gov Proposal Subject: Identification of Wet Stored Shellstock Specific NSSP Guide Reference: NSSP Guide Section II Model Ordinance Chapter X. General Requirements for Dealers @ .05 Shellstock Identification B. Tags (2) .05 B. (2) The dealer's name (b) The dealer's certification (c) The original shellstock (d) The date of harvest (e) If depurated (f) The most precise (g) When the shellstock has been transported from the original area and wet stored in another approved growing area within the same state for at least two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest date; (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The type and quantity (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (ii) The type and quantity (iii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (ii) The following statement (ji) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action.		PAC RIM Shellfish Conference (Jennifer Tebaldi)
Address: PO Box 47824 Olympia, WA 98504-7824 Phone: 360-236-3330 360-236-2357 Email: Jennifer.tebald@doh.wa.gov Proposal Subject: Identification of Wet Stored Shellstock Specific NSSP Guide Reference: NSSP Guide Section II Model Ordinance Chapter X. General Requirements for Dealers © 05 Shellstock Identification B. Tags (2) Text of Proposal/ Requested Action Specific NSSP Guide Reference: O5 Shellstock Identification B. Tags (2) O5 B. (2) The dealer's name (b) The dealer's name (c) The original shellstock (d) The date of harvest (e) If depurated (f) The most precise (g) When the shellstock has been transported from the original area and wet stored in another approved growing area within the same state for at least two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest date; (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (p) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The type and quantity (f) (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (ii) The following statement (f) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area, the shellstock would have the characte	Affiliation:	PAC RIM Shellfish Conference
Fax: Email: 360-236-2257 Jennifer.tebaldi@doh.wa.gov Identification of Wet Stored Shellstock		PO Box 47824 Olympia, WA 98504-7824
Jennifer.tebaldi@doh.wa.gov		
Proposal Subject: Identification of Wet Stored Shellstock		
Specific NSSP Guide Reference: NSSP Guide Section II Model Ordinance Chapter X. General Requirements for Dealers @ .05 Shellstock Identification B. Tags (2) Text of Proposal/ Requested Action .05 B. (2) The dealer's name (b) The dealer's certification (c) The original shellstock (d) The date of harvest (e) If depurated (f) The most precise (g) When the shellstock has been transported from the original area and wet stored in another approved growing area within the same state for at least two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest date; (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The following statement (j) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action.		
Chapter X. General Requirements for Dealers @ .05 Shellstock Identification B. Tags (2) Text of Proposal/ Requested Action (a) The dealer's name (b) The dealer's certification (c) The original shellstock (d) The date of harvest (e) If depurated (f) The most precise (g) When the shellstock has been transported from the original area and wet stored in another approved growing area within the same state for at least two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The type and quantity (f) (ii) The following statement (f) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Concurred with Conference Action.	Proposal Subject:	Identification of Wet Stored Shellstock
Chapter X. General Requirements for Dealers @ .05 Shellstock Identification B. Tags (2) Text of Proposal/ Requested Action (a) The dealer's name (b) The dealer's certification (c) The original shellstock (d) The date of harvest (e) If depurated (f) The most precise (g) When the shellstock has been transported from the original area and wet stored in another approved growing area within the same state for at least two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The type and quantity (f) (ii) The following statement (f) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Concurred with Conference Action.	Specific NSSP	NSSP Guide Section II Model Ordinance
Text of Proposal/ Requested Action (a) The dealer's name (b) The dealer's certification (c) The original shellstock (d) The date of harvest (e) If depurated (f) The most precise (g) When the shellstock has been transported from the original area and wet stored in another approved growing area within the same state for at least two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest date; (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The type and quantity (i) (i) The following statement (j) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Concurred with Conference Action.		Chapter X. General Requirements for Dealers
(a) The dealer's name (b) The dealer's certification (c) The original shellstock (d) The date of harvest (e) If depurated (f) The most precise (g) When the shellstock has been transported from the original area and wet stored in another approved growing area within the same state for at least two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest date; (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The type and quantity (f) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): None Recommended referral of Proposal 03-204 to the appropriate committee as determined by the Conference Chairman. Action by 2003 General Assembly Concurred with Conference Action.		@ .05 Shellstock Identification B. Tags (2)
(b) The dealer's certification (c) The original shellstock (d) The date of harvest (e) If depurated (f) The most precise (g) When the shellstock has been transported from the original area and wet stored in another approved growing area within the same state for at least two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest date: (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The type and quantity (f) (ii) The following statement (f) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Adopted recommendation of 2003 Task Force II. Concurred with Conference Action.	Text of Proposal/	.05 B. (2) The dealers tag
(c) The original shellstock (d) The date of harvest (e) If depurated (f) The most precise (g) When the shellstock has been transported from the original area and wet stored in another approved growing area within the same state for at least two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest date; (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (a) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (b) (i) The type and quantity (i) (i) The following statement (j) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action.	_	
(d) The date of harvest (e) If depurated (f) The most precise (g) When the shellstock has been transported from the original area and wet stored in another approved growing area within the same state for at least two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (j) (k) All shellstock has been transported across state lines (j) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Concurred with Conference Action.	_	(b) The dealer's certification
(e) If depurated (f) The most precise (g) When the shellstock has been transported from the original area and wet stored in another approved growing area within the same state for at least two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest date; (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The type and quantity (i) (i) The following statement (j) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Recommended referral of Proposal 03-204 to the appropriate committee as determined by the Conference Chairman. Action by 2003 General Assembly Concurred with Conference Action.		(c) The original shellstock
(f) The most precise (g) When the shellstock has been transported from the original area and wet stored in another approved growing area within the same state for at least two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest date: (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The type and quantity (f) (h) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Recommended referral of Proposal 03-204 to the appropriate committee as determined by the Conference Chairman. Action by 2003 General Assembly Concurred with Conference Action.		(d) The date of harvest
(f) The most precise (g) When the shellstock has been transported from the original area and wet stored in another approved growing area within the same state for at least two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest date: (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The type and quantity (f) (h) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Recommended referral of Proposal 03-204 to the appropriate committee as determined by the Conference Chairman. Action by 2003 General Assembly Concurred with Conference Action.		(e) If depurated
(g) When the shellstock has been transported from the original area and wet stored in another approved growing area within the same state for at least two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest date; (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The type and quantity (f) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Concurred with Conference Action. Concurred with Conference Action.		
Action by 2003 General Assembly Concurred with Conference Action. use the dates two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest date; (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The type and quantity (f) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance:		
Action by 2003 General Assembly Concurred with Conference Action. use the dates two weeks, the dealer will: (i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest date; (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The type and quantity (f) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance:		•
(i) use the date shellstock was harvested from the last growing area as the harvest date; (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The type and quantity (i) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action.		
Action by 2003 Action by 2003 Action by 2003 Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by 2003 Concurred with Conference Action. When the shellstock intendet; (ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. identify the last growing area as the harve		
(ii) identify the last growing area as the harvest location. (g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The type and quantity (i) (j) The following statement (j) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action.		
(g) (h) When the shellstock has been transported across state lines (h) (i) The type and quantity (i) (j) The following statement (j) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action.		
(h) (i) The type and quantity (i) (j) The following statement (j) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action.		
(i) (i) The following statement (i) (k) All shellstock intended Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action.		
Public Health Significance: There is no guidance in the Model Ordinance on tagging shellstock that is moved from one growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action.		
Significance: growing area to another within the same state. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action. After 2 weeks in a growing area, the shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Action by 2003 Task Force II Concurred with Conference Action.	D 11: 11 14	
shellstock would have the characteristics of the new growing area and the product should be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Recommended referral of Proposal 03-204 to the appropriate committee as determined by the Conference Chairman. Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action.		
be tagged appropriately. This will facilitate product recall and trace backs in the event of human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Recommended referral of Proposal 03-204 to the appropriate committee as determined by the Conference Chairman. Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action.	Significance:	
human illnesses. Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action.		
Cost Information (if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action. None Recommended referral of Proposal 03-204 to the appropriate committee as determined by the Conference Chairman. Action by 2003 General Assembly Concurred with Conference Action.		
(if available): Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action. None Recommended referral of Proposal 03-204 to the appropriate committee as determined by the Conference Chairman. Adopted recommendation of 2003 Task Force II. Concurred with Conference Action.		human ilinesses.
Action by 2003 Task Force II Action by 2003 Recommended referral of Proposal 03-204 to the appropriate committee as determined by the Conference Chairman. Action by 2003 General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action.	Cost Information	None
Task Force II the Conference Chairman. Action by 2003 Adopted recommendation of 2003 Task Force II. General Assembly Concurred with Conference Action.	(if available):	None
Action by 2003 Adopted recommendation of 2003 Task Force II. General Assembly Concurred with Conference Action.		
General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action.	Task Force II	the Conference Chairman.
General Assembly Action by Concurred with Conference Action.	Action by 2003	Adopted recommendation of 2003 Task Force II.
Action by Concurred with Conference Action.		
		Concurred with Conference Action.

Action by 2005	Recommended adoption of Proposal 03-204 with the following change to (g):
Post Harvest	
Processing	(i) <u>use the date shellstock was harvested from the last most recent growing area</u>
Committee	as the harvest date;
	(ii) identify the last most recent growing area as the harvest location.
Action by 2005	Recommended referral of Proposal 03-205 to appropriate committee as determined by the
Task Force II	Conference Chairman.
Action by 2005	Adopted recommendation of 2005 Task Force II.
General Assembly	
Action by	Concurred with Conference action.
USFDA	
Action by 2007	Recommended no action on Proposal 03-204. Rationale – No scientific information has
Traceability/PHP	been provided to support the suggestion that shellstock harvested and wet stored for a
Committees	specified period of time in a site other than the original harvest site takes on the
	characteristics of the wet storage area.
A 4' 1 2007	D 1 C 1 CD 102 2041 1 4 1 D 4 H 4 D 1 C 14
Action by 2007 Task Force II	Recommends referral of Proposal 03-204 back to the Post Harvest Processing Committee
1 ask Force II	with direction to address confusion over whether activity is wet storage, relay, or
	transplanting under aquaculture and to secure whatever science is available relative to length of time in growing area to take on new characteristics of that growing area.
	length of time in growing area to take on new characteristics of that growing area.
Action by 2007	Adopted recommendation of 2007 Task Force II.
General Assembly	reopted recommendation of 2007 Task Porce II.
General Assembly	
Action by	December 20, 2007
USFDA	Concurred with Conference action.