Proposal for Task Force Consideration at the		$\geq$	Growing Area
2009 Biennial Meeti	ng		Harvesting/Handling/Distribution
Interstate Shellfish	Sanitation Conference		Administrative
Name of Submitter:	US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)		
Affiliation:	US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)		
Address:	5100 Paint Branch Parkway College Park, MD 20740		
Phone:	301-436-1410		
Fax:	301-436-2601		
Email:	Paul.distefano@fda.hhs.gov		
Proposal Subject:	Re-Opening Criteria Based on New Indicator	of S	Sewage-Borne Viral Pathogens
Specific NSSP	NSSP Guide Model Ordinance Section II, Cha	apte	er IV. Shellstock Growing Areas
Guide Reference:	(a) .03 Growing Area Classification A. General (5) Status of Growing Areas		
	(c) Reopened Status		,
Text of Proposal/			
Requested	(c) Reopened Status. A growing area te	mp	orarily placed in the closed status as
Action	provided in (b) above, shall be returned to the open status only when:		
	poisonous or deleterious substance acceptable levels. Studies establish document the interval necessary for shellstock to pre-closure levels. In may establish criteria for reopening and;  (ii) For emergency closures (no harvest areas caused by the occurrance as sewage collection system failure exceed background levels or a lengrams from shellfish samples of	es the est of the est	ne shellstock to reduce pathogens or hat may be present in the shellstock to
	(ii) (iii) The requirements for biotoplans as established in §.04 and §.0	)3,	
	central file.  NOTE: An analytical method for shellfish separately proposed to enable the use	me	eats and a draft laboratory checklist are
Public Health Significance:	The absence of bacterial pathogens such as S using the coliform bacterial indicators of (NSSP). However, when growing areas are	the	e National Shellfish Sanitation Program

illness consistent with viral etiology, the NSSP requires closure for a minimum of 21 days.<sup>1</sup> That is because viruses and bacteria persist differently in growing waters and in shellfish<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>, it takes considerably longer for shellfish to eliminate viruses<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>, and the coliform bacterial indicators of contamination currently stipulated in the NSSP do not index risks from enteric viral pathogens very well<sup>3,6,7</sup>. This means that if open harvest areas become unexpectedly contaminated, the likelihood exists that viral pathogens may remain viable in shellfish long after growing waters appear safe according to the NSSP bacteriological criteria. Recognizing these facts, and lacking an alternative viral indicator or any other reasonable way to judge, the NSSP has stipulated 3 weeks as the criterion for achieving safe shellfish when viral pathogens are known or suspected to be involved.<sup>1</sup>

The NSSP needs an alternative viral indicator. Coliform bacteria do not reliably reflect the presence or absence of viral pathogens such as Noroviruses and hepatitis A<sup>2,3,6</sup>. Events such as sewage spills and bypasses, sewer pipe breaks, sewage pumping and lift station leaks, and wastewater treatment plant failures can heavily contaminate shellfish areas, at least temporarily. Every harvest area even remotely impacted by sewage effluent is at risk for a lengthy closure if and when a mishap in the sewage collection system or at the sewage treatment plant occurs. Already some State Shellfish Control Authorities (SSCA) have issued 3 week emergency closures to harvest areas after power failures disrupted sewage treatment and following pipe ruptures and sewage spills. When such contamination events occur, there currently is no choice. In the absence of an alternative viral indicator, there exists no way under the NSSP to judge whether a lengthy closure can be avoided. Logically, if the NSSP had an indicator that better resembled the enteric viral pathogens of concern, then lengthy closures might be averted.

Male-specific coliphage: Studies<sup>4,7,8,9,10</sup> demonstrate that a group of bacterial viruses called male-specific coliphage (MSC) appear to be good candidates as an alternative viral indicator in the NSSP. That is, MSC appear to be conservative indicators of sewage-borne enteric viral pathogens in shellfish and can be relied upon to indicate the virtual absence of these viral pathogens when derived from sewage. MSC occur universally in sewage in large numbers<sup>6</sup>. They only replicate in F+ (palliated) E. coli cells but do not reproduce below 30°C<sup>5,,11,12</sup>. Quantitative analysis for MSC is easy, inexpensive, and takes only 18-24 hours 13,14. MSC persist in waters and in shellfish much like the infectious hepatitis and Norwalk-like viruses of concern to the NSSP<sup>2,3,4,8</sup>. The physical size and shape of most MSC closely resemble those of these pathogens as well, and they all contain RNA as their genetic material<sup>5</sup>. More importantly, studies further show that when shellfish are contaminated with sewage, malespecific coliphage provide a better measure of the potential presence of enteric viruses than do coliform bacteria<sup>4,8</sup>.

To establish an alternative safety standard for shellfish based on MSC, a quantitative relationship between measurable levels of the indicator and the absence of viral pathogens is needed. Researchers in the United Kingdom studied shellfish harvested over a 2 year period, and their findings<sup>7</sup> show that when mean levels of F+ RNA bacteriophage remained below 50 per 100 grams; Norwalk-like viruses were not detected in any samples. Conversely, when the mean level of MSC exceeded 125 per 100 gram, 37% of the same shellfish samples were positive for enteric viruses. These data provide a scientific basis for establishing a level of MSC, one that is readily detectable and that provides a measurable indication that the sewage contamination levels in shellfish are below the threshold for containing enteric viral pathogens. Applied as an indicator following sewage contamination events in the

U.S., if the levels of MSC are found below 50 per 100 grams throughout the harvest area, then those shellfish should be as safe from sewage-borne enteric viral pathogens as they are under normal conditions.

## Limitations of MSC:

Though abundant in sewage, sewerage collections systems, and most wastewater effluents, the MSC group is not reliably detected in fresh human waste, small point sources, vessel discharges, and vomit, all of which can transmit viral pathogens.<sup>5,10</sup> Therefore, it is not proposed as an index of enteric viral pathogens from these sources. Nonetheless, MSC are a useful alternative indicator for signaling the presence of sewage contamination in shellfish, and provide a science-based means for determining whether shellfish areas are safe from viral pathogens, such as Noroviruses and hepatitis A viruses, following sewage contamination events.

# Proposal of Male-specific Coliphage as an Indicator:

It is proposed that MSC can, at the discretion of the SSCA, be used as an alternative to the 3 week period for re-opening shellfish areas to harvest activities after a sewage contamination event causes emergency closure. This re-opening option is based upon analytical results from shellfish samples collected at least 7 days after contamination has ceased and from representative locations in the growing area, whereby no samples exceed background levels or a level of 50 MSC per 100 grams.

## Benefits:

The MSC option for re-opening could decrease currently practiced closure periods by as many as 13 days.

### References:

- NSSP Model Ordinance, Chapter II @.01 H (2).
- <sup>2</sup> Sobsey, M.D., A.L. Davis, and V.A. Rullman. 1987. Persistence of hepatitis A virus and other viruses in depurated eastern oysters. Proc. Oceans '87, Halifax, Nova Scotia. 5:1740-1745.
- <sup>3</sup> Richards, G.P. 1988. Microbial purification of shellfish: a review of depuration and relaying. J. Food Prot. 51:218-251.
- <sup>4</sup> Burkhardt, W., III, S.R. Rippey, and W.D. Watkins. 1992. Depuration rates of northern quahogs, *Mercenaria mercenaria* (Linnaeus, 1758), and eastern oysters, *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin, 1791), in ozone- and ultraviolet light-disinfected seawater systems. J. Shellfish Res. 11:105-109.
- <sup>5</sup> Dore, W.J. and D.N. Lees. 1995. Behavior of *Escherichia coli* and male-specific bacteriophage in environmentally contaminated bivalve molluscs before and after depuration. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61: 2830-2834.
- <sup>6</sup> Goyal, S.M., C.P. Gerba, and G. Britton (Eds). 1987. Phage Ecology. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- <sup>7</sup> Dore, W.J., K. Henshilwood, and D.N. Lees. 2000. Evaluation of F-Specific RNA Bacteriophage as a candidate human enteric virus indicator for bivalve molluscan shellfish. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 66(4):1280-1285.
- <sup>8</sup> Cabelli, V.J. 1988. Microbial indicator levels in shellfish, water, and sediments from the upper Narragansett Bay conditional shellfish-growing area. Report to the Narragansett Bay Project, Providence, RI.
- <sup>9</sup> Burkhardt, W., III, W.D. Watkins, and S.R. Rippey. 1992. Seasonal effects on accumulation of microbial indicator organisms by *Mercenaria mercenaria*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58:826-831.
- <sup>10</sup> Calci, K.R., W. Burkhardt III, W.D. Watkins, and S.R. Rippey. 1998. Occurrence of male-specific bacteriophage in feral and domestic animal waste, human feces, and

	human-associated wastes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64:5027-5029.		
	Novotny, C. P. and K. Lavin. 1971. Some effects of temperature on the growth of F		
	pili. J. Bacteriology. 107: 671- 682.		
	Woody, M.A. and D.O. Cliver. 1995. Effects of temperature and host cell growth		
	phase on replication of F-specific RNA coliphage Qβ. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.		
	61:1520-1526.		
	DeBartolomeis, J. and Cabelli, V.J. 1991. Evaluation of an <i>Escherichia coli</i> host		
	Debartolomers, J. and Cabern, V.J. 1991. Evaluation of an Escherichia con nost		
	strain for enumeration of F male-specific bacteriophages. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.		
	57:1301-1305.		
	Without 1001. Water specific (1 ) and somatic compliage in water by 1 wo step		
	Enrichment Procedure, USEPA, EPA 821-R-01-030, April 2001.		
<b>Cost Information</b>	The proposal provides optional re-opening criteria that are not required. Therefore, there		
(if available):	are no added costs to State programs or industry. However, State laboratories that do not		
	have requisite equipment already would incur such costs if the State chooses to implement		
	the optional re-opening criteria described.		
Action by 2005	Recommended referral of Proposal 05-105 to the appropriate committee as determined by		
Task Force I	the Conference Chairperson.		
Action by 2005	Adopted recommendation of 2005 Task Force I.		
General Assembly			
,			
Action by	Concurred with Conference action.		
USFDA			
Action by 2007	Recommended referral of Proposal 05-105 to the appropriate Committee as determined by		
Growing Area	the Conference Chairman. Additionally, the Committee recommended the Executive		
Classification	Board establish a work group to evaluate the appropriateness of MSC as a viral indicator,		
Committee	and to identify appropriate applications. The workgroup should report its findings at the		
Committee	March 2008 Board meeting.		
	Water 2000 Board meeting.		
Action by 2007	Recommended adoption of the Growing Area Classification Committee recommendation		
Task Force I	on Proposal 05-105.		
Task Force I	on Froposar 05-105.		
Action by 2007	Adopted recommendation of 2007 Task Force I.		
	Adopted recommendation of 2007 Task Porce I.		
General Assembly			
Action by	December 20, 2007		
USFDA	Concurred with Conference action.		
COLDA	Concurred with Conference action.		