Proposal Subject: Determining Effectiveness of NSSP Changes

Specific NSSP Guide Reference: ISSC Constitution, Bylaws, and Procedures Article I. Task Forces
Procedure X. Procedure for Handling ISSC Summary of Actions

Text of Proposal/ Requested Action:

Article I. Task Forces

Section 6. Each Task Force shall deliberate all proposals during the times specified at the Conference meeting. Each Task Force Chairperson shall report the actions recommended by his/her respective Task Force to the voting delegates at the Conference under the heading of New Business for final Conference consideration. Any "No Action" recommended by a Task Force shall contain the reasons for the "No Action" recommendation. The Task Force will designate each proposal with a determination of cost of implementation. The designation will be all of the following:

Subdivision a. Significant costs to industry.

Subdivision b. Significant costs to State Shellfish Control Authority.

Subdivision c. Insignificant costs.

Procedure X. Procedure for Handling ISSC Summary of Actions

Section 5. All NSSP changes that have significant costs will be reviewed and assessed for effectiveness. This assessment will occur as part of the Conference meeting held in the fourth calendar year following adoption of the change. Those changes that are determined to be ineffective will be deleted.

Public Health Significance: N/A

Cost Information (if available):

Action by 2011 Task Force III Recommended referral of Proposal 11-306 to the appropriate committee as determined by the Conference Chairman.

Action by 2011 General Assembly Adopted the recommendation of Task Force III on Proposal 11-306.

Action by FDA February 26, 2012 Concurred with Conference action on Proposal 11-306.

Action by 2013 Program Review Committee Recommended adoption of Proposal 11-306 with the following substitute language:

ARTICLE IV. EXECUTIVE BOARD, OFFICERS, COMMITTEES

Section 10. The Board may appoint committees from industry, educational institutions, research fields, or any other areas as needed to report to the Board and advise the Conference on proposals under consideration. Committee appointments will be made from the

Conference membership by the Executive Board Chairman. The following committees shall be designated as standing committees and shall convene as needed or as directed by the Executive Board or Chairperson of the Conference: Education, Foreign Relations, Proposal Review, Patrol, Research Guidance, Resolutions, Shellfish Restoration, *Vibrio* Management Committee, and Model Ordinance Effectiveness Review Committee. The Vice-Chairperson of the Conference shall assist the Executive Director in encouraging development of committee work plans and completion of subcommittee assignments prior to convention of the Biennial Meeting.

Section 15. The Executive Board Chairperson shall appoint a thirteen (13) member Model Ordinance Effectiveness Review Committee. The Committee will be comprised of a Chairperson with at least (1) industry members from the East, Gulf and West coasts, at least one (1) state regulatory from each of the ISSC regions and at least one (1) state regulatory person from a non-producing state. The Committee will also include one voting member from NOAA, one voting member from FDA and one voting member from EPA. The Federal entities will appoint these members. This Committee will review the requirements of the NSSP Model Ordinance and identify requirements that are deemed to be ineffective. The Committee will present recommendations in proposal form to the appropriate Task Force for the deletion or modification of ineffective requirements; or requirements that are excessively costly for the intended public health benefit. New requirements will not be reviewed until the fourth year following the implementation date. A four year waiting period will provide adequate time to determine effectiveness of new controls.

Note: Initially the Committee will review all of the requirements in the NSSP that have been in existence for four (4) years or more. Following the initial review, the procedure outlined above would be followed by the Committee prior to the proposal submission deadline.

Action by 2013 Task Force III

Recommended adoption of the recommendation of the Program Review Committee as amended.

ARTICLE IV. EXECUTIVE BOARD, OFFICERS, COMMITTEES

Section 10. The Board may appoint committees from industry, educational institutions, research fields, or any other areas as needed to report to the Board and advise the Conference on proposals under consideration. Committee appointments will be made from the Conference membership by the Executive Board Chairman. The following committees shall be designated as standing committees and shall convene as needed or as directed by the Executive Board or Chairperson of the Conference: Education, Foreign Relations, Proposal Review, Patrol, Research Guidance, Resolutions, Shellfish Restoration, *Vibrio* Management Committee, and Model Ordinance Effectiveness Review Committee. The Vice-Chairperson of the Conference shall assist the Executive Director in encouraging development of committee work plans and completion of

subcommittee assignments prior to convention of the Biennial Meeting.

Section 15. The Executive Board Chairperson shall appoint a thirteen (13) member Model Ordinance Effectiveness Review Committee. The Committee will be comprised of a Chairperson with at least (1) industry members from the East, Gulf and West coasts, at least one (1) state regulatory from each of the ISSC regions and at least one (1) state regulatory person from a non-producing state. The Committee will also include one voting member from NOAA, one voting member from FDA and one voting member from EPA. The Federal entities will appoint these members. This Committee will review the requirements of the NSSP Model Ordinance and identify requirements that are deemed to be ineffective. The Committee will present recommendations in proposal form to the appropriate Task Force for the deletion or modification of ineffective requirements. ~~that are excessively costly for the intended public health benefit.~~ New requirements will not be reviewed until the fourth year following the implementation date. A four year waiting period will provide adequate time to determine effectiveness of new controls.

Note: Initially the Committee will review all of the requirements in the NSSP that have been in existence for four (4) years or more. Following the initial review, the procedure outlined above would be followed by the Committee prior to the proposal submission deadline.

Action by 2013 General Assembly Adopted recommendation of 2013 Task Force III on Proposal 11-306.

Action by FDA May 5, 2014 Concurred with Conference action on Proposal 11-306.