

Proposal Subject:	Analytical Capability and Capacity for Vibrio Testing
Specific NSSP Guide Reference:	Model Ordinance Chapter II Section @.05 and Section @.06
Text of Proposal/ Requested Action	<p>Chapter II Section @.05 add new G.</p> <p>F. Contingency Plan</p> <p>(1) The Contingency Plan shall include a detailed plan outlining the regulatory steps that will be implemented should the number of illnesses reach the threshold established for development and implementation of a V.v. Control Plan.</p> <p>(2) Contingency Plan Evaluation</p> <p>In consultation with FDA the Authority will evaluate the adequacy of their Contingency Plan.</p> <p><u>G. States required to implement a <i>Vibrio vulnificus</i> Control Plan shall develop analytical capability and capacity to monitor V.v. levels with corresponding environmental data (water temperature and salinity) to determine and establish baseline data.</u></p> <p>Chapter II Section @.06 add new D.</p> <p>C. The Time When Harvest Begins</p> <p>For the purpose of time to temperature control, time begins once the first shellstock harvested is no longer submerged.</p> <p><u>D. States required to implement a <i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i> Control Plan shall develop analytical capability and capacity to monitor total and pathogenic V.p. levels with corresponding environmental data (water temperature and salinity) to determine and establish baseline data.</u></p>
Public Health Significance:	Most shellfish producing states have environmental conditions in their growing areas at certain times that present a vibrio risk. Development of the analytical capability and capacity within each state will greatly facilitate the characterization and control of this risk with regard to season, location, conditions and practices.
Cost Information (if available):	Depending on the analytical method of choice, cost per sample for one organism (either V.v. or V. p.) is ~\$10-75.
Action by 2013 Task Force II	<p>Recommended no action on Proposal 13-206.</p> <p>Rationale: The cost of implementation is too expensive.</p>
Action by 2013 General Assembly	Adopted recommendation of 2013 Task Force II on Proposal 13-206.