Proposal No.	19-109
--------------	--------

-	Task Force Consideration 1. a.	ıtion
2. Submitter	Jill Fleiger	
3. Affiliation	Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services	
4. Address Line 1	600 S Calhoun Street	
5. Address Line 2	Suite 217	
6. City, State, Zip	Tallahassee, FL, 32399	
7. Phone	850-617-7615	
8. Fax	850-617-7613	
9. Email	Jillian.Fleiger@freshfromflorida.com	
10. Proposal Subject	Offshore State Water classification requirements	
11. Specific NSSP	Section II. Model Ordinance Chapter IV. Shellstock Growing Areas @.02	
Guide Reference	Section II. Woder Ordinance Chapter IV. Shenstock Growing Areas @.02	
12. Text of Proposal/	@.02 Microbiological Standards	
Requested Action	Note: The NSSP allows for a growing area to be classified using either a total fecal coliform standard. The NSSP further allows the application of either state to different water bodies within the State. The NSSP also allows for two (2) sample collection strategies for the application of the total or fecal coliform standard: adverse pollution condition and systematic random sampling. The 1 Task Force II recommended that this portion of the Ordinance be codified in (2) ways: a total coliform strategy and a fecal coliform strategy so that the Stamay choose sampling plans on a growing area basis. Within each strategy, provisions would appear for use of both systematic and adverse pollution consample collection. The Ordinance has been recodified in this manner. For maximum flexibility, an Authority may wish to adopt the use of both standard both sampling strategies for each standard. This codification represents the feoliform standards. Additionally, the Authority may choose to use MSC samp data in conjunction with total or fecal coliform data to evaluate areas impacte WWSD. A. General. Either the total coliform or fecal coliform standard shall be applied a growing area. The Authority may utilize MSC data in conjunction with bacteriological data to evaluate WWSD impacts on shellfish growing area. B. Water Sample Stations. The Authority shall assure that the number and loc of sampling stations is adequate to effectively evaluate all pollution source. C. Exceptions. (1) Except for growing areas classified as prohibited, in growing areas where there are pollution sources having an impact on the water quality, a minimum of thirty (30) samples, collected under various environments conditions, shall be required to classify any growing area not previous classified under Section @.03. (2) Except for growing areas classified as prohibited or when the systemat random sampling standard is applied, in growing areas where there are pollution sources having an impact on the water quality, a minimum of fifteen (15) samples s	992 two ate dition ds and cal ple d by ed to as. cation es.

Proposal No. 19-109

	(3) Except for offshore state waters where a sanitary survey shows that there are no pollution sources that will impact the microbiological quality of the water. Offshore state waters are classified as approved.
13. Public Health Significance	State waters extend 9 miles off shore of the State of Florida. If a sanitary survey can show there are no pollution impacts (ie. Rivers, WWTPs discharges) to proposed areas for aquaculture the required 30 samples to classify should not be required.
14. Cost Information	This would reduce the cost and burden to state authorities having to sample waters that are far removed from any potential pollution sources.